



Paper No. 15

SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC
701 FIFTH AVE
SUITE 6300
SEATTLE WA 98104-7092

COPY MAILED

FEB 20 2004

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS
ON PETITION**

In re Application of
Hartmut Neven et al.
Application No. 09/520,479
Filed: March 8, 2000
Attorney Docket Number: EYEM01

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed February 10, 2004, to revive the above identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the non-Final Office Action mailed December 20, 2002. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying to the non-Final Office Action. No extensions of time having been requested, this application became abandoned March 21, 2003. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed June 30, 2003.

Petitioner files the instant petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).¹

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center 2174 for appropriate action on the amendment filed February 10, 2004.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (703) 305-4497.

Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).